# FirmwareLibrariesV2.xP40C008/012/024/040/072VE.001Security Target LiteRev. 1.3 - 09 May 2017Evaluation door on

NSCIB-CC-15-65156

**Evaluation documentation** Public

#### **Document Information**

Info	Content
Keywords	CC, Security Target Lite, SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x
Abstract	Security Target Lite of the Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, which is developed and provided by NXP Semiconductors, Business Unit Security & Connectivity according to the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Version 3.1 at EAL5 augmented



Security Target Lite Public

Rev	Date	Description
0.9	02-October-2015	Initial Version
1.0	11-November-2015	Updated UserManuals and UGM reference.
1.1	16-November-2015	Updated HAL-Lib, FWLibsV2.0-UGM and HW-ST and HW-UGM reference.
1.2	02-March-2016	Included HAL-Lib v1.2.1 (FWLibs v2.0.1), updated FWLibsV2.X-UGM reference.
1.3	09-May-2017	Removed HW version VD/VE, introduced VE.001, updated FWLibsV2.x-UGM ref-
		erence. Removed AES from scope. Unified naming convention. Removed
		FWLibsV2.0. Corrected typos.

Security Target Lite Public

### **1 ST Introduction**

This chapter is divided into the following sections: "ST Reference", "TOE Reference", "TOE Overview" and "TOE Description".

### 1.1 ST Reference

Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 Security Target, 1.3, NXP Semiconductors, 09 May 2017.

### **1.2 TOE Reference**

This Security Target refers to the Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 (TOE) provided by NXP Semiconductors, Business Unit Security & Connectivity for Common Criteria evaluation. The TOE is a composite TOE, consisting of:

- The hardware "NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001", which is used as evaluated platform, and all its Major Configurations (see [13] for details)
- The "SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x", which is built upon this platform.

This Security Target builds on the Hardware Security Target [13], which refers to the "NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001" provided by NXP Semiconductors, Business Unit Security & Connectivity.

The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x covers SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.0.1. In case of a composite evaluation the chosen minor version of the FW Libraries should be mentioned explicitly.

### **1.3 TOE Overview**

### 1.3.1 Introduction

The Hardware Security Target [13] contains, in section "ST Overview", an introduction about the P40C008/012/024/040/072 hardware TOE that is considered in the evaluation. The Hardware Security Target includes IC Dedicated Software stored in the ROM provided with the P40C008/012/024/040/072 hardware platform. The "SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x" is a set of firmware libraries, which provides cryptographic functions and memory functions that can be used by the Smartcard embedded Software. The firmware libraries consist of several binary packages that are intended to be linked to the Smartcard Embedded Software. The Smartcard Embedded Software developer links the binary packages that he needs to his Smartcard Embedded Software and the whole is subsequently implemented in the User ROM. The NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 provides the computing platform and cryptographic support by means of coprocessors for the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x. The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x provides the security

Security Target Lite Public

functionality described below in addition to the functionality described in the Hardware Security Target [13] for the hardware platform.

The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x consists of two parts, the Crypto Library and the the Hardware Abstraction Layer (HAL)-Library. The Crypto Library provides AES DES, Triple-DES (3DES), RSA, RSA key generation, ECDSA (ECC over GF(p)) signature generation and verification, ECDSA (ECC over GF(p)) key generation, ECDH (ECC Diffie-Helmann) key-exchange, full point addition (ECC over GF(p)), SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512 algorithms. **Note:** AES is outside the scope of the current evalutation. Most algorithms are resistant against attacks as described in the JIL attack methods for smartcard and similar devices [9]. In addition, the Crypto Library implements a software (pseudo) random number generator which is initialized (seeded) by the hardware random number generator of the P40C008/012/024/040/072. Finally, the TOE provides a secure copy routine, a secure memory compare routine and includes internal security measures for residual information protection.

The HAL-Library provides memory functions to operate on RAM and NV memory, functions to compute CRCs and Configuration and Patch functionality.

This Security Target defines a set of security requirements for the Crypto Library. There are no specific security requirements for the HAL-Library.

For more details on the security requirements for the TOE please refer to Section 6 of this Security Target.

### **1.3.2** Difference to previous version of the product

An earlier version of this product, the "SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V1.1" contained a communication library alongside the HAL library as part of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V1.1. We want to state explicitly that the TOE in this security target SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x does not include a communication library.

### **1.4 TOE Description**

### 1.4.1 Physical Scope of TOE

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) consists of a hardware part and a software part:

- The hardware part consists of the NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 with IC Dedicated Software stored in the Test-ROM that is not accessible in the System Mode or the User Mode after Phase 3 (please see Section 1.4.4 for more details on the life cycle of the TOE). The hardware part of the TOE includes dedicated guidance documentation.
- The software part consists of the IC Dedicated Support Software "SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x" which consists of a software library and associated documentation. The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is an additional part that provides cryptographic functions that can be operated on the hardware platform as described in this Security Target.

Security Target Lite Public

The hardware part of the TOE is not described in detail in this document. Details are included in the Hardware Security Target [13] and therefore this latter document will be cited wherever appropriate. However the assets, assumptions, threats, objectives and security functional requirements are tracked in this Security Target. The TOE components consist of all the TOE components listed in Table 1.1 of the Hardware Security Target [13] plus all TOE components listed in the Table 1.1 resp. 1.2, resp. 1.3, resp. 1.4 below:

P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]DocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Wills Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Туре	Name	Release	Date	Form of Delivery
Library FilelibCryptoLibRng.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibRsa.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibUtils.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibUtils_ImportExport.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibRsaKg.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibBccGfp.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSnah2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBng.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRas_NA2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRas_NA2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRas_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBas_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBas_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBas_Mg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBas_Q.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBas_G.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBas_Q.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocument	Library File	libCryptoLibSymCiphers.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Library FilelibCryptoLibRsa.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibUtils_amportExport.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibUtils_ImportExport.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibBsakg.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibBccGfp.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSymCiphers.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic file <tr< td=""><td>Library File</td><td>libCryptoLibSha.a</td><td>2.0</td><td>17.09.2015</td><td>Electronic file</td></tr<>	Library File	libCryptoLibSha.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Library FilelibCryptoLibUtils.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibUtils_ImportExport.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibRsaKg.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibEccGfp.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSymCiphers.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.pSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser Guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C08/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]1.11.1.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015E	Library File	libCryptoLibRng.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Library FilelibCryptoLibUtils_ImportExport.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibRsaKg.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibEccGfp.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSymCiphers.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.pSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser Guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]11.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19] <t< td=""><td>Library File</td><td>libCryptoLibRsa.a</td><td>2.0</td><td>17.09.2015</td><td>Electronic file</td></t<>	Library File	libCryptoLibRsa.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Library FilelibCryptoLibRsaKg.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileLibrary FilelibCryptoLibEccGfp.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSymCiphers.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSha.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRag.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.gOAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBsa.gPSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on ures and operational user guidance [16]0.9090.5.2017Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen<	Library File	libCryptoLibUtils.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Library FilelibCryptoLibEccGfp.a2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSymCiphers.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSha.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRng.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtis_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtis_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCibUtis_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCaCGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCaCGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]11.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Library File	libCryptoLibUtils_ImportExport.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibSymCiphers.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibSha.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRng.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCcCfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]11.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Library File	libCryptoLibRsaKg.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibSha.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaOAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCloCdfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]11.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Library File	libCryptoLibEccGfp.a	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibRng.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]11.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: Utils Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Header File	phCryptoLibSymCiphers.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibRsa.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]11.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Header File	phCryptoLibSha.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibBcsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibCibCcGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]0.909.05.2017Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Header File	phCryptoLibRng.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]0.909.05.2017Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Wills Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Wills Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibRsa.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]0.909.05.2017Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibRsa_OAEP.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]0.909.05.2017Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibRsa_PSS.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibRsaKg.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileHeader FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]0.909.05.2017Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibUtils_Arith.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
Header FilephCryptoLibEccGfp.h2.017.09.2015Electronic fileDocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]0.909.05.2017Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibUtils_MemMgmt.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
DocumentUser guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]09.05.2017Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibRsaKg.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]DocumentUser Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Wils Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Header File	phCryptoLibEccGfp.h	2.0	17.09.2015	Electronic file
DocumentUser Guidance: SHA Library [21]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Utils Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Document	P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce-	0.9	09.05.2017	Electronic Document
DocumentUser Guidance: RNG Library [18]1.911.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: Utils Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Document	User Guidance: Symmetric Crypto Library [22]	1.6	11.11.2015	Electronic Document
DocumentUser Guidance: RSA Library [20]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: Utils Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumentDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Document	Document	User Guidance: SHA Library [21]	1.6	11.11.2015	Electronic Document
DocumentUser Guidance: Utils Library [23]1.611.11.2015Electronic DocumenDocumentUser Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]0.311.11.2015Electronic Documen	Document	User Guidance: RNG Library [18]	1.9	11.11.2015	Electronic Document
Document User Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19] 0.3 11.11.2015 Electronic Documen	Document	User Guidance: RSA Library [20]	1.6	11.11.2015	Electronic Document
	Document	User Guidance: Utils Library [23]	1.6	11.11.2015	Electronic Document
Document User Guidance: ECC over GF(p) Library [17] 0.3 11.11.2015 Electronic Documen	Document	User Guidance: RSA Key Generation Library [19]	0.3	11.11.2015	Electronic Document
	Document	User Guidance: ECC over GF(p) Library [17]	0.3	11.11.2015	Electronic Document

Tab. 1.1: Components of the TOE (CryptoLib)

Security Target Lite Public

Туре	Name	Release	Date	Form of Delivery
DAT File	SystemMode.dat	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
BCF File	HAL.bcf	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
CFG File	SystemMode_FirewallValues.cfg	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalConf.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalCrc.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem_AT.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem_NV.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalPatch.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phP4xAppl.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Document	User guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce-	0.9	09.05.2017	Electronic Document
	dures and operational user guidance [16]			
Document	Product data sheet addendum: SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx HAL Interface Specification [14]	3.2	16.11.2015	Electronic Document

Tab. 1.2: Components of the TOE (HAL-Lib v1.2.1) - UserMode Customer

Туре	Name	Release	Date	Form of Delivery
Library File	libHalCL.a	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Library File	libHalMem.a	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalCrc.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem_AT.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem_NV.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhallnit_CryptoLibSetup.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhallnit_ATSetup.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Document	User guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]	0.9	09.05.2017	Electronic Document
Document	Product data sheet addendum: SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx HAL Interface Specification [14]	3.2	16.11.2015	Electronic Document

Tab. 1.3: Components of the TOE (HAL-Lib v1.2.1) - SystemMode Customer

Security Target Lite Public

Туре	Name	Release	Date	Form of Delivery
Library File	libHalCL.a	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalCrc.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem_AT_Minimal.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhalMem_NV.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Header File	phhallnit_CryptoLibSetup.h	1.2.1	25.01.2016	Electronic file
Document	User guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Preparative proce- dures and operational user guidance [16]	0.9	09.05.2017	Electronic Document
Document	Product data sheet addendum: SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx HAL Interface Specification [14]	3.2	16.11.2015	Electronic Document

Tab. 1.4: Components of the TOE (HAL-Lib v1.2.1) - SystemMode Customer without libHalMem.a

Remark 1. For more information on the configuration options of the TOE the user is referred to [16].

### 1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE

#### 1.4.2.1 Hardware Description

The NXP SmartMX2 P40 hardware is described in section "Hardware Description" of the Hardware Security Target [13]. The IC Dedicated Test Software and IC Dedicated Support Software stored in the Test-ROM and delivered with the hardware platform is described in section "Software Description" of the Hardware Security Target [13].

#### 1.4.2.2 Software Description

The IC Dedicated Test Software and IC Dedicated Support Software stored in the Test-ROM and delivered with the hardware platform is described in section "Software Description" of the Hardware Security Target [13].

A Smartcard embedded Software developer may create Smartcard embedded Software to execute on the P40C008/012/024/040/072 hardware. This software is stored in the User ROM of the P40C008/012/024/040/072 hardware and is not part of the TOE, with one exception: the Smartcard embedded Software may contain the "SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x" (or parts thereof and this SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x (or parts thereof) is part of the TOE.

### 1.4.2.2.1 Crypto-Library

#### 1.4.2.2.1.1 AES

- The AES algorithm is intended to provide encryption and decryption functionality.
- The following modes of operation are supported for AES: ECB, CBC, CBC-MAC, CMAC.

**Note:** AES is outside the scope of the current evaluation.

Security Target Lite Public

#### 1.4.2.2.1.2 DES/3DES

- The DES and Triple-DES (3DES) algorithm is intended to provide encryption and decryption functionality.
- The following modes of operation are supported for DES and Triple-DES: ECB, CBC, CBC-MAC, CMAC

To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate security level must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards). In particular this means that Single-DES shall not be used.

#### 1.4.2.2.1.3 RSA

- The RSA algorithm can be used for encryption and decryption as well as for signature generation, signature verification, message encoding and signature encoding.
- The RSA key generation can be used to generate RSA key pairs.
- The RSA public key computation can be used to compute the public key that belongs to a given private CRT key. The TOE supports various key sizes for RSA up to a limit of 2048 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

### 1.4.2.2.1.4 ECDSA (ECC over GF(p))

- The ECDSA (ECC over GF(p)) algorithm can be used for signature generation and signature verification
- The ECDSA (ECC over GF(p)) key generation algorithm can be used to generate ECC over GF(p) key pairs for ECDSA.
- The ECDH (ECC Diffie-Hellman) key exchange algorithm can be used to establish cryptographic keys. It can be also used as secure point multiplication.
- Provide secure point addition for Elliptic Curves over GF(p)

The TOE supports various key sizes for ECC over GF(p) up to a limit of 384 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

#### 1.4.2.2.1.5 SHA

• The SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512 algorithms can be used for different purposes such as computing hash values in the course of digital signature creation or key derivation.

To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate security level must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

Security Target Lite Public

#### 1.4.2.2.1.6 Resistance of cryptographic algorithms against attacks

The cryptographic algorithms are resistant against attacks as described in JIL, Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices [9], which include Side Channel Attacks, Pertubation attacks, Differential Fault Analysis (DFA) and timing attacks, except for SHA, which is only resistant against Side Channel Attacks and timing attacks.

#### 1.4.2.2.1.7 Random number generation

• The TOE provides access to random numbers generated by a software (pseudo) random number generator and functions to perform a test of the hardware (true) random number generator at initialization.

#### 1.4.2.2.1.8 Other security functionality

- The TOE includes internal security measures for residual information protection.
- The TOE provides a secure copy routine.
- The TOE provides a secure compare routine

#### 1.4.2.2.2 HAL-Library

#### 1.4.2.2.2.1 Configuration

The Configuration block of the HAL-Library allows to configure and retrieve generic parameters of the system, not covered in any specific module.

#### 1.4.2.2.2.2 Memory

The Memory component of the HAL-Library is responsible for implementing HW independent memory copy, set and compare functions. It takes care of the underlying type of destination memory (EEPROM, RAM) and calls the respective functions accordingly. The module can also handle overlapping buffers correctly. In addition, the Memory component takes care of the integrity of Non Volatile Memory (NVM) write operations even in case of unexpected power loss. This feature is called Anti-Tearing and it provides a mechanism such that all nonvolatile write operations are ensured to be atomic and the integrity of the data is maintained.

#### 1.4.2.2.2.3 CRC

The CRC component of the HAL-Library is an external accessible block for calculating cyclic redundancy checks (CRC). It implements functions that support the calculation of several different CRC types which are listed below:

- CRC-8 (ITU-T)
- CRC-16 (CRC-CCITT)

Security Target Lite Public

• CRC-32 (IEEE 802.3)

#### 1.4.2.2.2.4 Patch

To correct issues in an late OS development state or even when the product is released a patch mechanism is provided.

#### 1.4.2.3 Documentation

The documentation for the NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 is listed in section "Documentation" of the Hardware Security Target [13].

The documentation of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x consists of the following documents: For the crypto library, the user manuals contain:

- the specification of the functions provided by the Crypto Library,
- details of the parameters and options required to call the Crypto Library by the Smartcard Embedded Software

For the HAL library there exists the

SmartMX2 P40 family P40C008/012/024/040/072 HAL Interface Specification [14]

The user guidance document [16] contains:

 guidelines on the secure usage of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x, including the requirements on the environment (the Smartcard Embedded Software calling the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is considered to be part of the environment).

### **1.4.3** Interface of the TOE

The interface to the NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 hardware is described in section "Interface of the TOE" of the Hardware Security Target [13]. The use of this interface is not restricted by the use of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x.

The interface to the TOE additionally consists of software function calls, as detailed in the "User Manual" and "Interface Specification" documents the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x. The developer of the Smartcard Embedded Software will link the required functionality of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x into the Smartcard Embedded Software as required for his application.

### 1.4.4 Life Cycle

The life cycle of the hardware platform as part of the TOE is described in section "TOE Intended Usage" of the Hardware Security Target [13]. The delivery process or the hardware platform is independent from the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x.

Security Target Lite Public

The life-cycle phases are according to the Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages [15], section 1.2.4:

- Phase 1: IC Embedded Software Development
- Phase 2: IC Development
- Phase 3: IC Manufacturing
- Phase 4: IC Packaging
- Phase 5: Composite Product Integration
- Phase 6: Personalisation
- Phase 7: Operational Usage

The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is delivered as part of Phase 1 above to the Smartcard Embedded Software developer. The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x may be delivered by e-mail or by delivering physical media such as compact disks by mail or courier. To protect the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x during the delivery process, the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is encrypted and digitally signed. The Smartcard Embedded Software may comprise in this case an operating system and/or other smart card software (applications). The Smartcard Embedded Software developer then integrates the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x in the Smartcard Embedded Software Software. The subsequent use of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x by Smartcard Embedded Software Developers is out of the control of the developer NXP Semiconductors, Business Unit Security & Connectivity; the integration of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x into Smartcard Embedded Software is not part of this evaluation.

### 1.4.5 Security during Development and Production

The development process of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is part of the evaluation. The access to the implementation documentation, test bench and the source code is restricted to the development team of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x. The security measures installed within NXP, including a secure delivery process, ensure the integrity and quality of the delivered SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x binary files.

### 1.4.6 Specific Issues of Smartcard Hardware and the Common Criteria

Regarding the Application Note 4 of the Protection Profile [15] the TOE provides additional functionality which is not covered in the Protection profile [15] and the Hardware Security Target [13]. This additional functionality is added using the policy P.Add-Func (see section 3.3 of this Security Target).

Security Target Lite Public

### 1.4.7 TOE Intended Usage

The TOE user environment is the environment from TOE Delivery to phase 7. At the phases up to 6, the TOE user environment must be a controlled environment. Regarding to phase 7, the TOE is used by the end-user. The method of use of the product in this phase depends on the application. The TOE is intended to be used in an unsecured environment that does not avoid a threat.

For details on the usage of the hardware platform refer to section 1.4.6 "TOE Intended Usage" in the Hardware Security Target [13].

The SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is intended to support the development of the Smartcard Embedded Software since the cryptographic functions provided by the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x include countermeasures against the threats described in this Security Target. The used modules of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x are linked to the other parts of the Smartcard Embedded Software and they are implemented as part of the Smartcard Embedded Software in the User ROM of the hardware platform.

*Remark* 2. The phases from TOE Delivery to phase 7 of the smart card life cycle are not part of the TOE construction process in the sense of this Security Target. Information about those phases is just included to describe how the TOE is used after its construction. Nevertheless the security features of the TOE cannot be disabled in these phases.

### 1.4.8 TOE User Environment

The user environment for the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x is the Smartcard Embedded Software, developed by customers of NXP, to run on the NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 hardware.

### **1.4.9 General IT features of the TOE**

The general features of the NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 hardware are described in section "TOE overview" of the Hardware Security Target [13]. These are supplemented for the TOE by the functions listed in section 1.3.1 of this Security Target.

## SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

### 2 Conformance Claims

This Security Target claims to be conformant to the Common Criteria version 3.1:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1 Introduction and general model -Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-001, Revision 4, September 2012, [2]
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2 Security functional components, Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-002, Revision 4, September 2012, [3]
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3 Security Assurance Components, Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-003, Revision 4, September 2012, [4]

For the evaluation the following methodology will be used:

 Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation CEM-99/045 Part 2 – Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-004, Revision 4, September 2012, [5]

This Security Target claims to be conformant to CC Part 2 extended and to be CC Part 3 conformant. The extended Security Functional Requirements are defined in chapter 6.

### 2.1 Package Claim

This Security Target claims conformance to the assurance package **EAL5 augmented** augmented. The augmentations to EAL5 are ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5. In addition, the Security Target is augmented using the component ASE\_TSS.2, which is chosen to include architectural information on the security functionality of the TOE.

### 2.2 PP Claim

This Security Target claims conformance to the Protection Profile Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, [15].

Since the Security Target claims conformance to the PP Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, the concepts are used in the same sense. For the definition of terms refer to the Protection Profile [15]. This chapter does not need any supplement in the Security Target.

The Protection Profile (PP) "Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages" [15] defines "Augmentation Packages". This Security Target does not use any of the packages defined in the PP [15].

Regarding the Application Note 4 of [15] the TOE provides additional functionality which is not covered in the Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages. This additional functionality is added using the policy P.Add-Func (see section 3.3 of this Security Target).

## SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

### 2.3 Conformance Claim Rationale

According to section 2.2 this Security Target claims conformance to the Protection Profile Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, [15]

The composed TOE type defined in section 1.4 of this Security Target is a smart card controller with IC dedicated software. This is consistent with the TOE definition for a Security IC in section 1.2.2 of [15].

The sections within this document where security problem definitions, objectives and security requirements are defined, clearly state which of these items are taken from the Protection Profile and which are added in this ST. Therefore the content of the Protection Profile is not repeated in this Security Target. Moreover, all additionally stated items in this Security Target do not contradict the items included from the PP (see the respective sections in this document). The operations done for the SFRs taken from the PP are also clearly indicated.

The evaluation assurance level claimed for this TOE is shown in section 6.2 to include respectively exceed the requirements claimed by the PP.

These considerations show that the Security Target correctly claims conformance to the Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, [15].

Security Target Lite Public

### **3** Security Problem Definition

This Security Target claims conformance to the "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15]. The Assets, Threats, Assumptions, and Organizational Security Policies are taken from the Protection Profile. In the following only the extensions of the different sections are detailed. The elements of the Security Problem Definition that are not extended in the Security Target. They are cited here for completeness.

This chapter is divided into the following sections: "Description of Assets", "Threats", "Organizational Security Policies", and "Assumptions".

### 3.1 Description of Assets

Since this Security Target claims conformance to the PP "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15], the assets defined in section 3.1 of the Protection Profile apply to this Security Target.

User Data and TSF data are mentioned as assets in [13]. Since the data computed by the crypto library contains keys, plain text and cipher text that are considered as User Data and e.g. blinding vectors that are considered as TSF data the assets are considered as complete for this Security Target.

More details about conditions and restrictions for resistance against attacks are given in the user documentation of the SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x [16].

### 3.2 Threats

Since this Security Target claims conformance to the PP "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15], the threats defined in section 3.2 of the Protection Profile are valid for this Security Target. The following table lists the threats defined by the PP:

Name	Title
T.Leak-Inherent	Inherent Information Leakage
T.Phys-Probing	Physical Probing
T.Malfunction	Malfunction due to Environmental Stress
T.Phys-Manipulation	Physical Manipulation
T.Leak-Forced	Forced Information Leakage
T.Abuse-Func	Abuse of Functionality
T.RND	Deficiency of Random Numbers

Tab. 3.1: Threats defined in the Security IC Protection Profile

*Remark* 3. Within the Hardware Security Target [13], the threat T.RND has been used in a context where the hardware (true) random number generator is threatened. The TOE consists of both hardware (NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001) and software (SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x). The Crypto Li-

Security Target Lite Public

brary provides random numbers generated by a software (pseudo) random number generator. Therefore the threat T.RND explicitly includes both deficiencies of hardware random numbers as well as deficiency of software random numbers.

In compliance with Application Note 5 in the PP [15] the TOE provides additional functionality to protect against threats that appear when the TOE is used for multiple applications.

The TOE provides the Security IC Embedded Software running in System Mode with control of access to memories and hardware components by different applications running in User Mode. In this context, User Data of different applications is stored to such memory and processed by such hardware components. The Security IC Embedded Software controls all these User Data. Any access to User Data assigned to one application by another application contradicts separation between different applications and is considered as a threat. The TOE shall avert threat T.Unauthorised-Access as specified below.

### T.Unauthorised-Acce Unauthorized Memory or Hardware Access

ss

Adverse action: An attacker may try to read, modify or execute code or data stored in restricted memory areas. An attacker may try to access or operate hardware resources that are restricted by executing code that accidentally or deliberately accesses these restricted hardware resources. Any code executed or data used in Boot Mode, System Mode or User Mode may accidentally or deliberately access code or User Data of other applications. Any code executed or data used in Boot Mode or User Mode may accidentally or deliberately access that are restricted to other applications.

Threat agent: Attacker having high attack potential and access to the TOE.

Asset: Code executed by and data belonging to the IC Dedicated Support Software running in Super System Mode as well as code executed by and data belonging to the Security IC Embedded Software.

Restrictions of access to memories and hardware resources, which are available to the Security IC Embedded Software, must be defined and implemented by the security policy of the Security IC Embedded Software based on the specific application context.

The threats defined in the Hardware Security Target are summarized in Table 3.2.

Name	Title
T.Unauthorised-Access	Unauthorized Memory or Hardware Access

 Tab. 3.2: Additional Threats defined in the HW-ST

### 3.3 Organizational Security Policies

Since this Security Target claims conformance to the PP "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15], the policy P.Process-TOE: "Identification during TOE Development and Production" of the Protection Profile is applied here

Security Target Lite Public

also.

Name	Title
P.Process-TOE	Identification during TOE Development and Production

Tab. 3.3: Policies defined in the Security IC Protection Profile

The hardware security target defines additional security policies.

The Crypto Library part of the TOE uses the symmetric crypto coprocessor hardware to provide DES security functionality as listed below in P.Add-Func: Additional Specific Security Functionality.

In addition to the security functionality provided by the hardware mentioned above and defined in the Security Target of the NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001. The following additional security functionality is provided by the Crypto Library for use by the Smart Card Embedded Software: P.Add-Func: Additional Specific Security Functionality

#### P.Add-Func Additional Specific Security Functionality

The TOE provides the following additional security functionality to the Smartcard Embedded Software:

- · DES and Triple-DES encryption and decryption
- RSA encryption, decryption, signature generation, signature verification, message encoding and signature encoding.
- RSA public key computation
- RSA key generation
- ECDSA (ECC over GF(p)) signature generation and verification,
- ECC over GF(p) key generation,
- ECDH (ECC Diffie-Hellman) key exchange,
- ECC over GF(p) point addition,
- ECC over GF(p) curve parameter verification,
- · SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512 Hash Algorithms,
- · access to the RNG (implementation of a software RNG),
- · secure copy routine,
- secure compare routine;

In addition, the TOE shall

• provide protection of residual information.

Security Target Lite Public

Name	Title
P.Add-Func	Additional Specific Security Functionality

Tab. 3.4: Additional Security Policies defined in this ST

Regarding the Application Note 6 of "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15] there are no other additional policies defined in this Security Target.

### 3.4 Assumptions

Since this Security Target claims conformance to the PP "Security IC Platform Protection Profile" [15], the assumptions defined in section 3.4 of the Protection Profile are valid for this Security Target. The following table lists the assumptions of the Protection Profile.

Name	Title
A.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during Packaging, Finishing and Personalisation
A.Resp-Appl	Treatment of user data of the Composite TOE

**Tab. 3.5:** Assumptions defined in the Security IC Protection Profile

The following additional assumptions are added in this Security Target from the P40C008/012/024/040/072 Hardware Security Target [13] and are valid for this Security Target.

Name	Title
A.Check-Init	Check of initialization data by the Security IC Embedded Soft-
	ware
A.Key-Function	Usage of Key-dependent Functions

Tab. 3.6: Assumptions defined in the Hardware Security Target [13]

Security Target Lite Public

### 4 Security Objectives

### 4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

The TOE shall provide the following security objectives, taken from the Protection Profile "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15]:

Name	Title
O.Leak-Inherent	Protection against Inherent Information Leakage
O.Phys-Probing	Protection against Physical Probing
O.Malfunction	Protection against Malfunctions
O.Phys-Manipulation	Protection against Physical Manipulation
O.Leak-Forced	Protection against Forced Information Leakage
O.Abuse-Func	Protection against Abuse of Functionality
O.Identification	TOE Identification
O.RND	Random Numbers

Tab. 4.1: Security Objectives of the TOE (PP)

*Remark* 4. Within the Hardware Security Target [13], the objective O.RND has been used in context with the hardware (true) random number generator (RNG). In addition to this, the TOE also provides a software (pseudo) RNG. Therefore the objective O.RND is extended to comprise also the quality of random numbers generated by the software (pseudo) RNG. See also Remark 3 in section 3.2, which extends T.RND in a similar way.

Additionally, the TOE shall provide the following security objectives, taken from the Hardware Security Target [13]:

Name	Title
O.HW_DES3	Triple DES Encryption
O.INTEGRITY_CHK	Integrity Control of Transferred Data
O.CUST_RECONFIG	Post Delivery Configuration
O.NVM_INTEGRITY	Integrity Support of data stored to NVM
O.MEM_ACCESS	Area based Memory Access Control
O.SFR_ACCESS	Special Function Register Access Control
O.Self-Test	Self Test
O.Reset	Reset function

 Tab. 4.2: Security Objectives of the TOE (HW-ST)

The following additional security objectives are defined by this ST, and are provided by the software part of the TOE:

#### O.DES Data Encryption Standard

The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to calculate Triple DES encryption and

## SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

	decryption over one up to several blocks in the following modes of operation: ECB, CBC, CBC-MAC and CMAC.
O.RSA	<b>RSA</b> The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to perform encryption, decryption, signa- ture creation, signature verification, message encoding and signature encoding using the RSA algorithm.
O.RSA_PubExp	<b>RSA Public Exponent Computation</b> The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to compute an RSA public key from an RSA private CRT key.
O.RSA_KeyGen	RSA Key Generation The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to generate RSA key pairs .
O.ECDSA	<b>ECDSA</b> The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to perform signature creation and signature verification using the ECC over $GF(p)$ algorithm.
O.ECC_DHKE	<b>EC Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange</b> The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to perform Diffie-Hellman key exchange based on ECC over $GF(p)$ algorithm.
O.ECC_KeyGen	ECC Key Generation The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to generate ECC over $GF(p)$ key pairs .
O.ECC_Additional	ECC Point Addition and Domain Parameter Verification The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to perform a point addition based on ECC over $GF(p)$ and domain parameter verification for ECC over $GF(p)$ .
O.SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm The TOE shall provide the cryptographic functionality to perform electronic hashing using the SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512 algorithms.
О.Сору	<b>Secure Copy</b> The TOE shall provide the functionality to copy data from memories/Special Function Registers to memories/Special Function Registers.
O.Compare	Secure Compare The TOE shall provide the functionality to compare data from memories/Special Function Reg- isters to data from memories/Special Function Registers.

Security Target Lite Public

#### **O.REUSE**

#### Reuse of Memory

The TOE shall provide the measures to ensure that the memory resources being used by the TOE for the CryptoLib cannot be disclosed to subsequent users of the same memory resource.

*Remark* 5. All introduced security objectives claiming cryptographic functionality and the security objectives for copy and compare are protected against attacks as described in the JIL, Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices [9], which include Side Channel Attacks, Perturbation attacks, Differential Fault Analysis (DFA) and timing attack. The following exceptions apply:

- (a) RSA Public Key computation and RSA Key generation does not contain protective measures against DPA.
- (b) ECDSA (ECC over GF(p)) Key Generation does not contain protective measures against DPA
- (c) SHA-1 SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512 do not contain protective measures against DPA and DFA.

This does not mean that the algorithm is insecure; rather at the time of this security target no promising attacks were found.

To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate security level must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards). In particular this means that SHA-1, Single-DES, and short key lengths for RSA and ECC shall not be used.

### 4.2 Security Objectives for the Security IC Embedded Software Development Environment

In addition to the security objectives for the operational environment as required by CC Part 1 [2] the Protection Profile [15] defines security objectives for the Security IC Embedded Software development environment which are listed below. Additional refinements in the Hardware Security Target [13] are also valid in the ST for the Crypto Library (the "Security IC Embedded Software").

Name	Title
OE.Resp-Appl	Treatment of User Data

 Tab. 4.3: Security Objectives of the DVE (PP)

The crypto library TOE assumes that the Security IC Embedded Software abides by the provisions detailed in **Clarification related to "Treatment of User Data (OE.Resp-Appl)"** contained within Section "Security Objectives for the Security IC Embedded Software Development Environment" of the Hardware Security Target [13]. The following additional security objective for the Security IC Embedded Software introduced in the Hardware Security Target [13] is also valid in the ST for the crypto library:

OE.Check-Init: Check of initialization data by the Security IC Embedded Software

Security Target Lite Public

### 4.3 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

The security objective for the Security Objectives for the Operational environment, listed in Table 4.4. Additional refinements in the Hardware Security Target [13] are also valid in the ST for the Crypto Library.

Name	Title
OE.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during composite product manufacturing

Tab. 4.4: Security Objectives of the OPE (PP)

Name	Title
OE.Check-Init	Check of initialization data by the Security IC Embedded Soft-
	ware

 Tab. 4.5: Security Objectives of the OPE (HW-ST)

### 4.4 Security Objectives Rationale

Section 4.4 of the Protection Profile provides a rationale how the assumptions, threats, and organizational security policies are addressed by the objectives that are specified in the PP "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15]. Table 4.6 reproduces the table in section 4.4 of [15].

Security Problem Definition	Security Objective	Notes
T.Leak-Inherent	O.Leak-Inherent	
T.Phys-Probing	O.Phys-Probing	
T.Malfunction	O.Malfunction	
	O.Self-Test	
	O.INTEGRITY_CHK	
T.Phys-Manipulation	O.Phys-Manipulation	
	O.Self-Test	
T.Leak-Forced	O.Leak-Forced	
T.Abuse-Func	O.Abuse-Func	
T.RND	O.RND	
P.Process-TOE	O.Identification	Phases 2–3
A.Process-Sec-IC	OE.Process-Sec-IC	Phases 4–6
A.Resp-Appl	OE.Resp-Appl	Phase 1

 Tab. 4.6: Security Objectives vs. Security Problem Definition (PP0084)

Table 4.7 provides the justification for the additional security objectives. They are in line with the security objectives of the Protection Profile and supplement these according to the additional assumptions and organizational security

Security Target Lite Public

### policy.

Security Problem Definition	Security Objective	Notes
T.Unauthorised-Access	O.MEM_ACCESS	
	O.SFR_ACCESS	
P.Add-Components	O.HW_DES3	
	O.Self-Test	
	O.Reset	
	O.CUST_RECONFIG	
	O.NVM_INTEGRITY	
A.Check-Init	OE.Check-Init	Phases 1 and 4–6
A.Key-Function	OE.Resp-Appl	Phase 1

 Tab. 4.7: Additional Security Objectives vs. Security Problem Definition (HW-ST)

### Justification related to T.Unauthorised-Access:

Objective	Rationale
O.MEM_ACCESS	TOE must enforce memory partitioning with address mapping and control of access to memories in System Mode and User Mode. Access rights in User Mode must be explicitly granted by Security IC Embedded Software running in System Mode. Thus, security violations caused by accidental or deliberate access to restricted data, code and shared hardware resources can be pre- vented.
O.SFR_ACCESS	The TOE must enforce control of access to Special Function Reg- isters in System Mode and User Mode. Access rights in User Mode must be explicitly granted by code running in System Mode. Thus, security violations caused by accidental or deliberate ac- cess to restricted data, code and shared hardware resources can be prevented.

#### Justification related to P.Add-Components:

Objective	Rationale
O.HW_DES3	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.Self-Test	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.

Security Target Lite Public

Objective	Rationale
O.Reset	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.CUST_RECONFIG	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.NVM_INTEGRITY	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.

### Justification related to A.Check-Init:

Objective	Rationale
OE.Check-Init	This objective requires the Security IC Embedded Software de-
	veloper to implement a function as stated in this assumption.

### Justification related to A.Key-Function:

Objective	Rationale
OE.Resp-Appl	The definition of this objective of the PP [15] is further clarified in this Security Target: By definition cipher or plain text data and cryptographic keys are User Data. So, the Security IC Embed- ded Software will protect such data if required and use keys and functions appropriately in order to ensure the strength of crypto- graphic operation. Quality and confidentiality must be maintained for keys that are imported and/or derived from other keys. This implies that appropriate key management has to be implemented in the environment. In addition, the treatment of User Data com- prises the implementation of a multi-application operating system that does not disclose security relevant User Data of one appli- cation to another one. These measures make sure that the as- sumption A.Key-Function is still covered by this objective.

The justification of the additional policy and the additional assumptions show that they do not contradict to the rationale already given in the Protection Profile for the assumptions, policy and threats defined there.

Security Problem Definition	Security Objective	Notes
P.Add-Func	O.DES	
	O.RSA	
	O.RSA_KeyGen	
	O.ECDSA	

Security Target Lite Public

Security Problem Definition	Security Objective	Notes
	O.ECC_DHKE	
	O.ECC_KeyGen	
	O.ECC_Additional	
	O.SHA	
	O.Copy	
	O.Compare	
	O.REUSE	
	O.RSA_PubExp	
	O.RND	

Tab. 4.12: Additional Security Objectives vs. Security Problem Definition (CL-ST)

#### Justification related to P.Add-Func:

Objective	Rationale
O.DES	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.RSA	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.RSA_KeyGen	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.ECDSA	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.ECC_DHKE	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.ECC_KeyGen	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.ECC_Additional	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.SHA	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.

Security Target Lite Public

Objective	Rationale
О.Сору	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.Compare	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.REUSE	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.RSA_PubExp	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.
O.RND	This objective covers the security policy because it requires the TOE to partly implement the functionality as required by the security policy.

Additionally, the security objectives O.Leak-Inherent, O.Phys-Probing, O.Malfunction, O.Phys-Manipulation and O.Leak-Forced define how to implement the specific security functionality required by P.Add-Func and therefore support P.Add-Func. These security objectives are also valid for the additional specific security functionality since they must also avert the related threats for the components added to the organisational security policy.

Security Target Lite Public

### **5 Extended Components Definitions**

The IT security functional requirements of the TOE an additional family (FDP\_SOP) of the Class FDP (user data protection) is defined here. This family describes the functional requirements for basic operations on data in the TOE.

Note that the PP "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15] defines extended security functional requirements in Chapter 5, which are included in this Security Target.

As defined in CC Part 2, FDP class addresses user data protection. Secure basic operations (FDP\_SOP) address protection of user data when it is processed by Copy or Compare function, respectively. Therefore, it is judged that FDP class is suitable for FDP\_SOP family. The reason for adding an extra family to FDP class is that existing families do not address protection of user data against all relevant attacks. In particular, FDP\_IFC and FDP\_ITT[HW] (as well as FPT\_ITT) are associated with protection against side-channel attacks.

### 5.1 Secure basic operations (FDP\_SOP)

### **Family Behaviour**

This family defines requirements for the TOE to perform basic operations on data, which could be user data but also key data.

### **Component levelling**

FDP\_SOP.1 requires the TOE to provide the possibility to perform basic secure operations on data

#### Management: FDP\_SOP.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

### Audit: FDP\_SOP.1

There are no actions defined to be auditable.

### FDP\_SOP.1 Secure basic operations

Hierarchical to: No other components. Dependencies: No dependencies.

#### FDP\_SOP.1.1

The TSF shall provide a [selection: Copy, Compare] function on data [Selection: from source [assignment: list of objects] to destination [assignment: list of objects], residing in [assignment: list of objects]. Application note: The different memories, are seen as possible objects

Security Target Lite Public

### 6 Security Requirements

This chapter defines the security requirements that shall be met by the TOE. These security requirements are composed of the security functional requirements and the security assurance requirements that the TOE must meet in order to achieve its security objectives. This chapter is divided into sections "Security Functional Requirements", "Security Assurance Requirements" and "Security Requirements Rationale".

CC allows several operations to be performed on security requirements (on the component level); refinement, selection, assignment, and iteration are defined in section 8.1 of CC Part 1 [2]. These operations are used in the PP [15] and in this Security Target, respectively.

The refinement operation is used to add details to requirements, and thus, further intensifies a requirement. Refinements of security requirements are denoted in such a way that added words are in bold text and changed words are crossed out.

The selection operation is used to select one or more options provided by the PP [15] or CC in stating a requirement. Selections having been made are denoted as italic text. The assignment operation is used to assign a specific value to an unspecified parameter, such as the length of a password. Assignments having been made are denoted as italic text.

The iteration operation is used when a component is repeated with varying operations. It is denoted by showing brackets "[iteration indicator]" and the iteration indicator within the brackets.

For the sake of a better readability, the iteration operation may also be applied to some single components (being not repeated) in order to indicate belonging of such SFRs to same functional cluster. In such a case, the iteration operation is applied to only one single component.

Whenever an element in the PP [15] contains an operation that is left uncompleted, the Security Target has to complete that operation.

### 6.1 Security Functional Requirements

To support a better understanding of the combination Protection Profile and Security of the hardware platform NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001 vs. this Security Target (SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x), the TOE SFRs are presented in the following sections.

### 6.1.1 SFRs of the Protection Profile

Table 6.1 shows all SFRs which are specified in the Protection Profile "Security IC Platform Protection Profile", [15]. Some of the SFRs are CC Part 2 extended and defined in the Protection Profile. This is shown in the third column of the table.

Name	Title
FAU_SAS.1[HW]	Audit Storage
FCS_RNG.1[HW]	Random Number Generation (Class PTG.2)
FDP_ITT.1[HW]	Basic Internal Transfer Protection

Security Target Lite Public

Name	Title
FDP_IFC.1	Subset Information Flow Control
FDP_SDC.1[HW]	Stored data confidentiality
FDP_SDI.2[HW]	Stored data integrity monitoring and action
FMT_LIM.1[HW]	Limited Capabilities
FMT_LIM.2[HW]	Limited Availability
FPT_FLS.1	Failure with Preservation of Secure State
FPT_ITT.1[HW]	Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection
FPT_PHP.3	Resistance to Physical Attack
FRU_FLT.2	Limited Fault Tolerance

Tab. 6.1: Security Functional Requirements defined in the Security IC Protection Profile

*Remark* 6. These requirements have already been stated in the hardware ST [13] and are fulfilled by the chip hardware, if not indicated otherwise in Table 6.1.

The TOE shall meet the requirements "Random number generation" as specified below.

FCS_RNG.1[DET]	Random Number Generation (Deterministic)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	No dependencies
FCS_RNG.1.1[DET]	The TSF shall provide a <i>deterministic</i> random number generator that implements:
(D	G.3.1) If initialized with a random seed using a PTRNG of class PTG.2 (as defined in [1]) as random source, the internal state of the RNG shall have at least 230 bits (TDES) of entropy.
(DI	G.3.2) The RNG provides forward secrecy (as defined in [1]).
(D	G.3.3) The RNG provides backward secrecy even if the current internal state is known (as defined in [1]).
FCS_RNG.1.2[DET]	The TSF shall provide random numbers that meet:
(DI	G.3.4) The RNG, initialized with a random seed using a PTRNG of class PTG.2 (as defined in [1]) as random source, generates output for which in 3DES mode $2^{35}$ strings of bit length 128 are mutually different with probability at least $1 - 2^{-17}$ in 3DES mode.
(DI	G.3.5) Statistical test suites cannot practically distinguish the random numbers from output se- quences of an ideal RNG. The random numbers must pass test procedure A (as defined in [1]).
Note:	The CryptoLib ROM Software provides the Security IC Embedded Software with seperate func- tionality to initialise the deterministic random number generator (which includes the chi-square test) and to generate pseudo-random data. It is the responsibility of the user to initialise the DRNG before generating random data. If it is tried to request pseudo-random numbers without having seeded the DRNG a security reset is triggered.

Security Target Lite Public

Note:

Only if the chi-square test succeeds the hardware random number generator seeds the deterministic random number generator implemented as part of the CryptoLib ROM Software.

### 6.1.2 SFRs of the Hardware Security Target

The SFRs from Table 6.1 are supplemented by additional SFRs, defined in the Common Criteria, as described in sections "Additional SFRs regarding cryptographic functionality" and "Additional SFRs regarding access control" of the Hardware Security Target [13] and shown in Table 6.2.

Name	Title
FCS_COP.1[HW_DES]	Cryptographic Operation (DES)
FDP_ACC.1[MEM]	Subset Access Control (Memories)
FDP_ACC.1[SFR]	Subset Access Control (Special Function Registers)
FDP_ACF.1[MEM]	Security Attribute Based Access Control (Memories)
FDP_ACF.1[SFR]	Security Attribute Based Access Control (Special Func- tion Registers)
FMT_MSA.1[MEM]	Management of Security Attributes (Memories)
FMT_MSA.1[SFR]	Management of Security Attributes (Special Function Registers)
FMT_MSA.3[MEM]	Static Attribute Initialization (Memories)
FMT_MSA.3[SFR]	Static Attribute Initialization (Special Function Registers)
FMT_SMF.1[HW]	Specification of Management Functions (Hardware)
FMT_SMF.1[SW]	Specification of Management Functions (Software)
FPT_TST.1	TSF Testing

Tab. 6.2: Security Functional Requirements defined in the Hardware Security Target

Like the requirements already listed in Table 6.1, the requirements listed in Table 6.2 have already been stated in the Hardware Security Target [13] and are fulfilled by the chip hardware.

### 6.1.3 SFRs added by the Crypto Library

The SFRs in Table 6.1 and Table 6.2 are further supplemented by the additional SFRs described in the following subsections of this Security Target, as listed in Table 6.3. The SFRs described in Table 6.3 are new for the crypto library. The composite TOE, consisting of chip hardware and crypto library software, fulfills all requirements from Table 6.1, Table 6.2 and Table 6.3.

Name	Title
FCS_RNG.1[DET]	Random Number Generation (Deterministic)
FCS_COP.1[SW_DES]	Cryptographic Operation (DES & TDES)
FCS_COP.1[RSA]	Cryptographic Operation (RSA encryption, decryption, signature and verification)

Security Target Lite Public

Name	Title
FCS_COP.1[RSA_Pad]	Cryptographic Operation (RSA message and signature encoding)
FCS_COP.1[RSA_PubExp]	Cryptographic Operation (RSA public key computation)
FCS_COP.1[ECDSA]	ECDSA Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) signa- ture generation and verification)
FCS_COP.1[ECC_DHKE]	ECDH Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) Diffie- Hellman key exchange)
FCS_COP.1[ECC_Additional]	ECC Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) point ad- dition and domain parameter verification)
FCS_COP.1[SHA]	Cryptographic Operation (SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512)
FCS_CKM.1[RSA]	Cryptographic key generation (RSA key generation)
FCS_CKM.1[ECC]	Cryptographic key generation (ECC key generation)
FCS_CKM.4	Cryptographic key destruction
FDP_RIP.1[SW]	Subset Residual Information Protection
FDP_SOP.1[Compare]	Secure Basic Operations (Compare)
FDP_SOP.1[Copy]	Secure Basic Operations (Copy)

Tab. 6.3: SFRs defined in this Security Target

The TSF provides cryptographic functionality to help satisfy several high-level security objectives. In order for a cryptographic operation to function correctly, the operation must be performed in accordance with a specified algorithm and with a cryptographic key of a specified size. The following Functional Requirements to the TOE can be derived from this CC component:

FCS_COP.1[SW_DES]	Cryptographic Operation (DES & TDES)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.
FCS_COP.1.1[SW_DES]	The TSF shall perform <i>encryption and decryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>DES and Triple-DES in one of the following modes of operation: ECB, CBC, CBC-MAC or CMAC</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>1-key DES (56 bit), 2-key TDES (112 bit) or 3-key (168 bit)</i> that meet the following <i>standards</i> :
	• FIPS Publication 46-3 (DES and TDES)
	NIST Special Publication 800-38A Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation

• NIST Special Publication 800-38C Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CCM Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality – CBCMAC

## SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

- NIST Special Publication 800-38B Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CMAC Mode for Authentication
- Application Note:The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described<br/>in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate security level must be used<br/>(references can be found in national and international documents and standards). In particular<br/>this means that Single-DES shall not be used.

FCS\_COP.1[RSA] Cryptographic Operation (RSA encryption, decryption, signature and verification)

Hierarchical-To No other components.

- Dependencies [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS\_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.
- FCS\_COP.1.1[RSA]The TSF shall perform encryption, decryption, signature and verification in accordance with the<br/>specified cryptographic algorithm RSA and cryptographic key sizes 512 bits to 2048 bits that<br/>meet the following standards: PKCS #1, v2.1: RSAEP, RSADP, RSASP1, RSAVP1.
- Application Note: The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

#### FCS\_COP.1[RSA\_Pad] Cryptographic Operation (RSA message and signature encoding)

Hierarchical-To No other components.

Dependencies [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS\_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.

FCS\_COP.1.1[RSA\_Pad] The TSF shall perform *message and signature encoding methods* in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm *EME-OAEP and EMSA-PSS* and cryptographic key sizes *512 bits to 2048 bits* that meet the following *standards*: *PKCS #1, v2.1*: *EME-OAEP and EMSA-PSS*.

Application Note:The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described<br/>in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used<br/>(references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

#### FCS\_COP.1[RSA\_PubE Cryptographic Operation (RSA public key computation)

 xp]

 Hierarchical-To
 No other components.

 Dependencies
 [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS\_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.

## SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

	The TSF shall perform public key computation in accordance with the specified cryptographic
Exp]	algorithm RSA and cryptographic key sizes 512 bits to 2048 bits that meet the following stan- dards: PKCS #1, v2.1: RSAEP, RSADP, RSASP1, RSAVP1.
Application Note:	The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards). The computation will result in the generation of a public RSA key from the private key (in CRT format). As this key is implied by the private key, this is not true key generation, and, to prevent duplication in this ST, this has not been included as a separate FCS_CKM.1 SFR.
FCS_COP.1[ECDSA]	ECDSA Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) signature generation and verification)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.
FCS_COP.1.1[ECDSA]	The TSF shall perform <i>signature generation and verification</i> in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm <i>ECDSA / ECC over GF(p)</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>128 to 384 bits</i> that meet the following <i>standards: ISO/IEC 15946-2</i> .
Application Note:	The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
FCS_COP.1[ECC_DHK E]	ECDH Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) Diffie-Hellman key exchange)
- Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.
	The TSF shall perform Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange in accordance with the specified crypto-
KE]	graphic algorithm ECC over GF(p) and cryptographic key sizes 128 to 384 bits that meet the following standards: ISO/IEC 15946-3.
Application Note:	<ul> <li>The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks de- scribed in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The security functionality does not provide the complete key exchange procedure, but only the point multiplication which is used for the multiplication of the private key with</li> </ul>

Security Target Lite Public

the communication partner's public key. Therefore this function can be used as part of a Diffie-Hellman key exchange as well as pure point multiplication.

	ECC Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) point addition and domain parameter
ional]	verification)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.
	The TSF shall perform a full point addition in accordance with the specified cryptographic al-
tional]	gorithm <i>ECC over GF(p)</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>128 to 384 bits</i> that meet the following <i>standard: ISO/IEC 15946-1</i> . The TSF shall perform a <i>basic ECC over GF(p) domain parameter check</i> in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm <i>ECC over GF(p)</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>128 to 384 bits</i> that meet the following <i>standard: ISO/IEC 15946-1</i> .
Application Note:	The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
FCS_COP.1[SHA]	Cryptographic Operation (SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Crypto-graphic key destruction.
FCS_COP.1.1[SHA]	The TSF shall perform cryptographic checksum generation in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm <i>SHA-1</i> , <i>SHA-224</i> , <i>SHA-256</i> , <i>SHA-384</i> and <i>SHA-512</i> and cryptographic key size <i>none</i> that meet the following <i>standard: FIPS 180-3</i> .
Application Note:	<ul> <li>The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards). In particular this means that SHA-1 shall not be used.</li> <li>The length of the data to hash has to be a multiple of one byte. Arbitrary bit lengths are</li> </ul>
	not supported.
FCS_CKM.1[RSA]	Cryptographic key generation (RSA key generation)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.

### SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

- Dependencies [FCS\_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
- FCS\_CKM.1.1[RSA] The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm *RSA* and specified cryptographic key sizes *512-2048 bits* that meet the following *standards: PKCS #1, v2.2.*
- Application Note:The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described<br/>in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used<br/>(references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
- Note: The implementation of RSA key generation is aligned with the "Bundesnetzagentur fuer Elektrizitaet, Gas, Telekommunikation, Post und Eisenbahnen: Bekanntmachung zur elektronischen Signatur nach dem Signaturgesetz und der Signaturverordnung (Uebersicht ueber geeignete Algorithmen)", German "Bundesanzeiger (www.bundesanzeiger.de) BAnz AT 30.01.2015 B3". This standard sets up requirements for RSA key generation, if the generated RSA key pair is used in a signature application according to the German Signature Act. This standard is also accepted by the German Bundesamt fuer Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) for Common Criteria evaluations that include the assurance requirements AVA\_VAN.5 with high attack potential.

#### FCS\_CKM.1[ECC] Cryptographic key generation (ECC key generation)

Hierarchical-To No other components.

- Dependencies [FCS\_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
- FCS\_CKM.1.1[ECC] The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm *ECDSA (ECC over GF(p))* and specified cryptographic key sizes *128 to 384 bits* that meet the following *standards: ISO/IEC 15946-1*.
- Application Note: The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9]. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
- Note: The implementation of ECC key generation is aligned with the "Bundesnetzagentur fuer Elektrizitaet, Gas, Telekommunikation, Post und Eisenbahnen: Bekanntmachung zur elektronischen Signatur nach dem Signaturgesetz und der Signaturverordnung (Uebersicht ueber geeignete Algorithmen)", German "Bundesanzeiger (www.bundesanzeiger.de) BAnz AT 30.01.2015 B3". This standard sets up requirements for ECC key generation, if the generated ECC key pair is used in a signature application according to the German Signature Act. This standard is also accepted by the German Bundesamt fuer Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) for Common Criteria evaluations that include the assurance requirements AVA\_VAN.5 with high attack potential.

#### FDP\_RIP.1[SW] Subset Residual Information Protection

### SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	No dependencies
FDP_RIP.1.1[SW]	The TSF shall ensure that any previous information content of a resource is made unavailable upon the deallocation of the resource from the following objects: <i>all objects (variables)</i> used by the <i>Crypto Library</i> as specified in the user guidance documentation.
FCS_CKM.4	Cryptographic key destruction
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic Key Generation]
FCS_CKM.4.1	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwrite</i> that meets the following <i>standards: ISO11568</i> .
Note:	The <i>SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x</i> provides the embedded software with functionality for key destruction for FCS_COP.1[SW_DES] and FCS_CKM.1[RSA]. Clearing of keys that are provided by the smartcard embedded software to the <i>SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x</i> is the responsibility of the smartcard embedded software.

### 6.1.4 Extended TOE security functional requirements

The SFRs in Table 6.1, Table 6.2, and Table 6.3 are further supplemented by two iterations of an extended SFR introduced in the following subsections of this Security Target.

The FDP\_SOP (secure basic operations) is introduced as a new component within a new family FDP\_SOP consisting only of that new component.

FDP_SOP.1[Copy]	Secure Basic Operations (Copy)
Hierarchical-To	No other components.
Dependencies	No dependencies
FDP_SOP.1.1[Copy]	The TSF shall provide a <i>Copy</i> function on data from source <i>ROM, RAM and EEPROM</i> to destination <i>RAM</i> .
Application Note:	The security functionality is resistant against side channel analysis and other attacks described in [9].
FDP_SOP.1[Compare]	Secure Basic Operations (Compare)
FDP_SOP.1[Compare] Hierarchical-To	
	Secure Basic Operations (Compare)
Hierarchical-To	Secure Basic Operations (Compare) No other components.
**NXP Semiconductors** 

# SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

## 6.2 Security Assurance Requirements

Table 6.4 below lists all security assurance components that are valid for this Security Target. With one exception these security assurance components are required by EAL5 (see section 2.2) or by the Protection Profile. The exception is the component ASE\_TSS.2 which is chosen as an augmentation in this ST to give architectural information on the security functionality of the TOE.

Considering Application Note 21 of [15] the column "Required by" shows the differences in the requirements of security assurance components between the PP [15] and the Security Target. The entry "EAL5 / PP" denotes, that an SAR is required by both EAL5 and the requirement of the PP [15], "EAL5" means that this requirement is due to EAL5 and beyond the requirement of the PP [15], and "PP" identifies this component as a requirement of the PP which is beyond EAL5. The augmentation ASE\_TSS.2 chosen in this Security Target is denoted by "ST". The refinements of the PP [15], that must be adapted for EAL5, are described in section 6.2.1.

Name	Title
ADV_ARC.1	Security architecture description
ADV_FSP.5	Complete semi-formal functional specification with addi-
	tional error information
ADV_IMP.1	Implementation representation of the TSF
ADV_INT.2	Well-structured internals
ADV_TDS.4	Semiformal modular design
AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance
AGD_PRE.1	Preparative procedures
ALC_CMC.4	Production support, acceptance procedures and automa-
	tion
ALC_CMS.5	Development tools CM coverage
ALC_DEL.1	Delivery procedures
ALC_DVS.2	Sufficiency of security measures
ALC_LCD.1	Developer defined life-cycle model
ALC_TAT.2	Compliance with implementation standards
ASE_INT.1	ST introduction
ASE_CCL.1	Conformance claims
ASE_SPD.1	Security problem definition
ASE_OBJ.2	Security objectives
ASE_ECD.1	Extended components definition
ASE_REQ.2	Derived security requirements
ASE_TSS.2	TOE summary specification with architectural design
	summary
ATE_COV.2	Analysis of coverage
ATE_DPT.3	Testing: modular design
ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing

Security Target Lite Public

Name	Title
ATE_IND.2	Independent testing - sample
AVA_VAN.5	Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis

Tab. 6.4: Security Assurance Requirements

## 6.2.1 Refinements of the TOE Security Assurance Requirements

The Security Target claims conformance to the PP [15] and therefore it has to be conform to the refinements of the TOE security assurance requirements (see Application Note 22 in [15]). Because the refinements in the PP [15] are defined for the security assurance components of EAL4, some refinements have to be applied to assurance components of the higher level EAL5 stated in the Security Target.

The Hardware Security Target [13] has chosen the evaluation assurance level EAL5. This Hardware Security Target bases on the Protection Profile [15], which requires the lower level EAL4+. This implies that the refinements made in the Protection Profile [15], section 6.2.1 "Refinements of the TOE Assurance Requirements", for EAL4+ had to be refined again in order to ensure EAL5 in the Hardware Security Target (this was necessary for ALC\_CMS.5 and ADV\_FSP.5).

Since these refinements explain and interpret the CC for hardware, these refinements do not affect the additional software in this composite TOE. Therefore all refinements made in the PP [15] are valid without change for the composite TOE.

## 6.3 Security Requirements Rationale

## 6.3.1 Rationale for the Security Functional Requirements

Section 6.3.1 in [15] provides a rationale for the mapping between security functional requirements and security objectives defined n the PP [15]. The mapping is reproduced in the following table.

SO	SFR
O.Leak-Inherent	FDP_IFC.1
	FDP_ITT.1[HW]
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]
O.Phys-Probing	FDP_SDC.1[HW]
	FPT_PHP.3
O.Malfunction	FPT_FLS.1
	FRU_FLT.2
O.Phys-Manipulation	FDP_SDI.2[HW]
	FPT_PHP.3
O.Leak-Forced	FDP_IFC.1
	FDP_ITT.1[HW]

Security Target Lite Public

SO	SFR
	FPT_FLS.1
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]
	FPT_PHP.3
	FRU_FLT.2
O.Abuse-Func	FDP_IFC.1
	FDP_ITT.1[HW]
	FMT_LIM.1[HW]
	FMT_LIM.2[HW]
	FPT_FLS.1
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]
	FPT_PHP.3
	FRU_FLT.2
O.Identification	FAU_SAS.1[HW]
O.RND	FCS_RNG.1[DET]
	FCS_RNG.1[HW]
	FDP_IFC.1
	FDP_ITT.1[HW]
	FPT_FLS.1
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]
	FPT_PHP.3
	FRU_FLT.2

Tab. 6.5: Security Functional Requirements vs. Security Objectives (PP0084)

*Remark* 7. O.RND has been extended if compared to the PP [15] to include also a software RNG (see also Remark 4). The rationale given in the PP only covers the part of O.RND dealing with the hardware RNG. For O.RND additional functionality (software RNG) and additional requirements FCS\_RNG.1[DET] have been added. The explanation following Table 6.6 describes this in more detail.

The Hardware Security Target [13] lists a number of security objectives and SFRs that are additional to the Security Objectives and SFRs in the Protection Profile. These are listed in the following table.

SO	SFR
O.HW_DES3	FCS_COP.1[HW_DES]
O.INTEGRITY_CHK	FDP_ITT.1[HW]
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]
O.CUST_RECONFIG	FMT_SMF.1[HW]
O.NVM_INTEGRITY	FDP_SDI.2[HW]
O.MEM_ACCESS	FDP_ACC.1[MEM]
	FDP_ACF.1[MEM]
	FMT_MSA.1[MEM]

Security Target Lite Public

SO	SFR
	FMT_MSA.3[MEM]
	FMT_SMF.1[HW]
O.SFR_ACCESS	FDP_ACC.1[SFR]
	FDP_ACF.1[SFR]
	FMT_MSA.1[SFR]
	FMT_MSA.3[SFR]
	FMT_SMF.1[HW]
O.Self-Test	FPT_TST.1
O.Reset	FMT_SMF.1[SW]

Tab. 6.6: Security Functional Requirements vs. Security Objectives (HW-ST)

The rationales for the mappings in Table 6.6 may be found in the Hardware ST [13].

Finally, this ST lists a number of security objectives and SFRs additional to both the PP and the Hardware ST. These are listed in the following table.

SO	SFR
O.DES	FCS_COP.1[HW_DES]
	FCS_COP.1[SW_DES]
O.RSA	FCS_COP.1[RSA]
	FCS_COP.1[RSA_Pad]
O.RSA_PubExp	FCS_COP.1[RSA_PubExp]
O.RSA_KeyGen	FCS_CKM.1[RSA]
O.ECDSA	FCS_COP.1[ECDSA]
O.ECC_DHKE	FCS_COP.1[ECC_DHKE]
O.ECC_KeyGen	FCS_CKM.1[ECC]
O.ECC_Additional	FCS_COP.1[ECC_Additional]
O.SHA	FCS_COP.1[SHA]
О.Сору	FDP_SOP.1[Copy]
O.Compare	FDP_SOP.1[Compare]
O.REUSE	FCS_CKM.4
	FDP_RIP.1[SW]

Tab. 6.7: Security Functional Requirements vs. Security Objectives in this ST

The rationale for all items defined in this Security Target is given below. **Justification related to O.DES:** 

Security Target Lite Public

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[SW_DES]	This SFR requires the TOE to support Triple-DES en- cryption and decryption as demanded by the objective. FCS_COP.1[SW_DES] requires the modes of operation on top of FCS_COP.1[HW_DES].
FCS_COP.1[HW_DES]	This objective requires the TOE to support Tripe-DES en- cryption and decryption. FCS_COP.1[HW_DES] requires the Triple-DES according to the standard.

## Justification related to O.RSA:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[RSA]	This SFR requires the TOE to support RSA en- cryp- tion, decryption, signature and verification according to the standard as demanded by the objective.
FCS_COP.1[RSA_Pad]	This SFR requires the TOE to support RSA-OAEP mes- sage encoding and decoding and RSA-PSS padding and padding verification according to the standard.

## Justification related to O.RSA\_PubExp:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[RSA_PubExp]	This SFR requires the TOE to support the computation of a public exponent from an RSA private CRT key as de- manded by the objective.

## Justification related to O.RSA\_KeyGen:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_CKM.1[RSA]	This SFR requires the TOE to support RSA key genera- tion according to the standard as demanded by the objec- tive.

## Justification related to O.ECDSA:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[ECDSA]	This SFR requires the TOE to support ECDSA signature and verification according to the standard as demanded by the objective.

## Justification related to O.ECC\_DHKE:

Security Target Lite Public

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[ECC_DHKE]	This SFR requires the TOE to support ECDH key agree- ment according to the standard as demanded by the ob- jective.

## Justification related to O.ECC\_Additional:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[ECC_Additional]	This SFR requires the TOE to support elliptic curve point addition according to the standard as demanded by the objective.

## Justification related to O.ECC\_KeyGen:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_CKM.1[ECC]	This SFR requires the TOE to support RSA key genera- tion according to the standard as demanded by the objec- tive.

## Justification related to O.SHA:

SFR	Rationale
FCS_COP.1[SHA]	This SFR requires the TOE to support secure hash func- tion computation of the SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA- 384 and SHA-512 hash functions according to the stan- dard as demanded by the objective.

#### Justification related to O.RND:

Security Target Lite Public

SFR	Rationale
FCS_RNG.1[DET]	This SFR requires the TOE to generate random numbers with ensured cryptographic quality (i.e. not predictable and with sufficient entropy) such that information about the generated random numbers is not available to an at- tacker as demanded by the objective.
	<ul> <li>Ensured cryptographic quality (sufficient entropy part) of generated random numbers is met by FCS_RNG.1.1[DET] through the characteristic deterministic and the random number generator meeting NIST SP 800-90 (FCS_RNG.1.1[DET]). Ensured cryptographic quality (not predictable part) of generated random numbers is met by FCS_RNG.1[DET] through the characteristic chi-squared test of the seed generator and FCS_RNG.1[HW] from the certified hardware platform.</li> <li>Information about the generated random numbers is not available to an attacker is met through ADV_ARC.1, which prevent physical manipulation and malfunction of the TOE and support this objective because they prevent attackers from manipulating or otherwise affecting the random number generator.</li> </ul>
FCS_RNG.1[HW]	See PP0084.
FDP_ITT.1[HW]	See PP0084.
FDP_IFC.1	See PP0084.
FPT_FLS.1	See PP0084.
FPT_ITT.1[HW]	See PP0084.
FPT_PHP.3	See PP0084.
FRU_FLT.2	See PP0084.

## Justification related to O.Copy:

SFR	Rationale
FDP_SOP.1[Copy]	This SFR requires the TOE to support secure copy which is exactly addressed by FDP_SOP.1[Copy] as demanded by the objective.

Security Target Lite Public

#### Justification related to O.Compare:

SFR	Rationale
FDP_SOP.1[Compare]	This SFR requires the TOE to support secure compare which is exactly addressed by FDP_SOP.1[Compare] as demanded by the objective.

#### Justification related to **O.REUSE**:

SFR	Rationale
FDP_RIP.1[SW]	O.REUSE requires the TOE to provide procedural mea- sures to prevent disclosure of memory contents that was used by the TOE. This applies to the code segments run in System Mode and is met by the SFR FDP_RIP.1[SW], which requires the CryptoLib to make unavailable all memory contents that has been used by it.
FCS_CKM.4	FCS_CKM.4 contributes in addition to FDP_RIP.1[SW].

- Each objective is directly implemented by a single SFR specifying the (cryptographic) service that the objective wishes to achieve (see the above table for the mapping).
- The requirements and architectural measures that originally were taken from the Protection Profile [15] and thus were also part of the Security Target of the hardware (chip) evaluation support the objective:
  - ADV\_ARC.1 (and underlying platform SFRs) supports the objective by ensuring that the TOE works correctly (i.e., all of the TOE's capabilities are ensured) within the specified operating conditions and maintains a secure state when the TOE is outside the specified operating conditions. A secure state is also entered when perturbation or DFA attacks are detected.
  - ADV\_ARC.1 (and underlying platform SFRs) ensures that no User Data (plain text data, keys) or TSF Data is disclosed when they are transmitted between different functional units of the TOE (i.e., the different memories, the CPU, cryptographic co-processors), thereby supporting the objective in keeping confidential data secret.
- ADV\_ARC.1 (and underlying platform SFRs) by ensuring that User Data and TSF Data are not accessible from the TOE except when the Smartcard Embedded Software decides to communicate them via an external interface.

## 6.3.2 Extended Requirements

This Security Target does define extended requirements, because there are no existing SFRs available that cover the claimed functionality. The PP [15] contains extended functional requirements, which are explained in the rationale of the PP (see [15], section 5).

**NXP Semiconductors** 

Security Target Lite Public

## 6.3.3 Dependencies of Security Functional Requirements

The dependencies listed in the PP [15] are independent of the additional dependencies listed in the table below. The dependencies of the PP [15] are fulfilled within the PP [15] and at least one dependency is considered to be satisfied.

The following discussion demonstrates how the dependencies defined by Part 2 of the Common Criteria for the requirements specified in sections 6.1 and 6.2 are satisfied.

The dependencies defined in the Common Criteria are listed in the table below:

SFR	Dependencies	Fulfilled by Security Require- ments in the ST
FCS_RNG.1[DET]	No dependencies	
FCS_COP.1[SW_DES]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See note below.
FCS_COP.1[RSA]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See FCS_CKM.1[RSA] and note below.
FCS_COP.1[RSA_Pad]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See FCS_CKM.1[RSA] and note below.
FCS_COP.1[RSA_PubExp]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See FCS_CKM.1[RSA] and note below.

Security Target Lite Public

SFR	Dependencies	Fulfilled by Security Require- ments in the ST
FCS_COP.1[ECDSA]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	below.
FCS_COP.1[ECC_DHKE]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See FCS_CKM.1[ECC] and note below.
FCS_COP.1[ECC_Additional]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See FCS_CKM.1[ECC] and note below.
FCS_COP.1[SHA]	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation], FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.	See note below.
FCS_CKM.1[RSA]	[FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryp- tographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction	See note below.
FCS_CKM.1[ECC]	[FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryp- tographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction	See note below.

Security Target Lite Public

SFR	Dependencies	Fulfilled by Security Require- ments in the ST
FCS_CKM.4	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic Key Generation]	See note below.
FDP_RIP.1[SW]	No dependencies	
FDP_SOP.1[Compare]	No dependencies	
FDP_SOP.1[Copy]	No dependencies	

 Tab. 6.21: Dependencies of Security Functional Requirements

#### Note:

The functional requirements [FDP\_ITC.1, or FDP\_ITC.2 or FCS\_CKM.1] are not included in this Security Target for FCS\_COP.1[SW\_DES] and FCS\_COP.1[SHA] since with respect to DES, SHA the TOE only provides a pure engine for encryption and decryption (and hash computation) without additional features for the creation of cryptographic keys. In the case of the DES and RSA the TOE provides functionality for key destruction (cf. FCS\_CKM.4).

For ECC the Security IC Embedded Software must fulfill the requirements for key destruction related to the needs of the realised application.

## 6.3.4 Rationale for the Assurance Requirements

The selection of assurance components is based on the underlying PP [15]. The Security Target uses the same augmentations as the PP, but chooses a higher assurance level. The level EAL5 is chosen in order to meet assurance expectations of digital signature applications and electronic payment systems. Additionally, the requirement of the PP [15] to choose at least EAL4 is fulfilled.

The rationale for the augmentations is the same as in the PP. The assurance level EAL5 is an elaborated predefined level of the CC, part 3 [4]. The assurance components in an EAL level are chosen in a way that they build a mutually supportive and complete set of components. The requirements chosen for augmentation do not add any dependencies, which are not already fulfilled for the corresponding requirements contained in EAL 5. Therefore, these components add additional assurance to EAL 5, but the mutual support of the requirements is still guaranteed.

As stated in the section 6.3.3 of [15], it has to be assumed that attackers with high attack potential try to attack smart cards used for digital signature applications or payment systems. Therefore specifically AVA\_VAN.5 was chosen by the PP [15] in order to assure that even these attackers cannot successfully attack the TOE.

Security Target Lite Public

# 7 TOE Summary Specification

# 7.1 IT Security Functionality

The evaluation of this cryptographic library is performed as a composite evaluation, where the TOE comprises both the underlying hardware and the embedded software (cryptographic library). The TOE of this composite evaluation therefore extends the security functionality already available in the chip platform (see section "Portions of the TOE Security Functionality" of the Hardware Security Target [13]). The security functionality of the hardware platform is listed in the following two tables; the additional security functionality provided by the cryptographic library is described in the following sub-sections.

Name	Title
SS.RNG	Random Number Generation

Tab. 7.1: Security Services defined in the scope of the Protection Profile

Name	Title
SS.HW_DES3	Triple-DES Operations
SS.SELF_TEST	Self Test
SS.RESET	Reset Functionality
SS.RECONFIG	Post Delivery Configuration

 Tab. 7.2: Security Services defined in the HW Security Target

*Remark* 8. The security functionality SS.RNG implements the hardware RNG. The TOE also implements a software RNG as part of security functionality SS.SW\_RNG.

## SS.RNG Random Number Generation

The Random Number Generator continously produces random numbers with a length of one byte. The TOE implements SS.RNG by means of a physical hardware random number generator working stable within the valid ranges of operating conditions, which are guaranteed by SF.OPC. SM.Sw\_Rng.HQC

refining SMs:

The physical random number generator partially fulfills AIS31 class PTG.2 [1]. The behaviour of the Random Number Generator is independent of the Security IC Embedded Software. The entropy of the random numbers as claimed by the security functional requirement are ensured by the requirements of AIS31. Therefore SS.RNG obviously meets FCS\_RNG.1[HW]. Note that statistical tests are requested from the Security IC Embedded Software (refer to [16]). This means that the Random Number Generator together with the according online test features to guarantee its correct operation and quality of randomness provided by the Security IC Embedded Software is suitable for generation of signature key pairs, generation of seession keys for symmetric encryption mechanisms, random padding bits, zero-knowledge proofs and the generation of seeds for DRNGs.

Security Target Lite Public

#### SS.HW\_DES3 Triple-DES Operations

#### SS.HW\_DES3 provides DES encryption and decryption based on 112 bit and 168 bit keys.

The TOE provides the Single DES according to the Data Encryption Standard (DES). SS.HW\_DES3 is a modular basic cryptographic function, which provides the TDEA algorithm as defined by FIPS PUB 46 [7] by means of a hardware coprocessor which provides Single-DES. The document [16] provides guidance how to use the hardware coprocessor such that (a) the 3-key Triple-DEA algorithm according to keying option 1 and (b) the 2-key Triple DEA algorithm according to keying option 1 and (b) the 2-key Iriple DEA algorithm according to keying option 1 and 2 in FIPS PUB 46-3 [7] can be implemented by the Security IC Embedded Software. Also the key management for the 2-key (112 bit) Triple DES algorithm shall be provided by the Security IC Embedded Software. For encryption the Security IC Embedded Software provides 8 bytes of the plain text and SS.HW\_DES3 calculates 8 bytes cipher text. The calculation output is read by the Security IC Embedded Software. For decryption the Security IC Embedded Software provides 8 bytes of cipher text and SS.HW\_DES3 calculates 8 bytes plain text. The calculation output is read by the Security IC Embedded Software.

## SS.SELF\_TEST Self Test

SS.SELF\_TEST provides a function to check whether the TOE has been manipulated physically. This includes an active shielding check, sensor check, verifying the signature of code and performing a consistency check of Special Function Registers with static configuration.

#### SS.RESET Reset Functionality

SS.RESET provides the Security IC Embedded Software with a function to reset the device. This enables the Security IC Embedded Software preserving a secure state in case it detects abnormal operations or attacks. The reset functionality provides an ordinary System Reset (that is, "Power-On Reset") and a security relevant reset (Security Reset) which can be executed only a limited time before the device is disabled permanently. The IC can also be terminated with one call, where the error counter is set to its end state.

#### SS.RECONFIG Post Delivery Configuration

SS.RECONFIG realizes the Post Delivery Configuration. These can be used by the customer to set the accessible size of the EEPROM, enable or disable the PKCC co-processor, the DES co-processor, the number of keys in the EEPROM key store and the number of Anti-Tearing pages. The configuration values of the Post Delivery Configuration are stored in a special area in the NXP\_ConfigData\_Seg.

Note that if the PKCC coprocessor and the DES coprocessor are disabled, both will no longer be available to the Security IC Embedded Software and attempting to use it will raise an exception. This means the availability of SS.HW\_DES3 is configurable. The customer can change the values of the Post Delivery Configuration through invoking the Post Delivery Configuration functionality in Boot Software (see SF.MEM\_ACC). This functionality is

**NXP Semiconductors** 

# SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

invoked by using the chip health mode via the ISO/IEC 7816 interface and applying the required Post Delivery Configuration commands.

The customer can change these values as many times as he wishes. However, once he calls the Boot Software using the chip health mode via the ISO/IEC 7816 interface with a certain parameter set to a specific value, the options are locked permanently, and can no longer be changed. The options must be locked before the TOE is delivered to the customer before phase 7 of the life cycle.

Tables 7.3 (for PP) and 7.4 list the Security Features defined for the TOE in the HW-ST.

Name	Title
SF.OPC	Control of Operating Conditions
SF.PHY	Protection against Physical Manipulation
SF.LOG	Logical Protection
SF.COMP	Protection of Mode Control

 Tab. 7.3: Security Features defined in the scope of the Protection Profile

Name	Title
SF.MEM_ACC	Memory Access Control
SF.SFR_ACC	Special Function Register Access Control

Tab. 7.4: Security Features defined in the HW-ST

## 7.1.1 Security Services

#### SS.SW\_RNG Deterministic Random Number Generator

The CryptoLib implements a software (pseudo) RNG that can be used as a general purpose random source. This software RNG has to be seeded by random numbers taken from the hardware RNG provided via SS.RNG. Then implementation of the software RNG is based on the standard NIST-SP-800-90 CTR-DBRG.

#### SS.SW\_DES Triple DES

SS.SW\_DES supports four modes of operation on top of SS.HW\_DES3:

- ECB according to [10]
- CBC according to [10]
- CBC-MAC according to [11]
- CMAC according to [12]

A keystore concept is used for secure handling of DES, 2K3DES and 3K3DES keys.

Security Target Lite Public

RSA
SS.RSA provides functions that implement the RSA algorithm for data encryption, decryption, signature and verification. All algorithms are defined in PKCS#1, v2.2 (RSAEP, RSADP, RSAP1, RSAVP1) [28].
This routine supports various key lengths from 512 bits to 2048 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
The TOE contains modular exponentiation functions, which, together with other functions in the TOE, perform the operations required for RSA encryption or decryption. Two different RSA algorithms are supported by the TOE, namely the <i>Simple Straight Forward Method</i> (called RSA <i>straight forward</i> , the key consists of the pair $n$ and $d$ ) and RSA using the <i>Chinese Remainder Theorem</i> (RSA CRT, the key consists of the quintuple $(p, q, d_p, d_q, qInv)$ ).
RSAPad
SS.RSAPad provides functions that implement the RSA algorithm and the RSA-CRT algorithm for message and signature encoding. This IT security functionality supports the EME-OAEP and EMSA-PSS signature scheme. All algorithms are defined in PKCS#1, v2.2 (EME-OAEP, EMSA-PSS) [28].
This routine supports various key lengths from 512 bits to 2048 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
RSA_PublicExp
SS.RSAPubExp provides functions that implement computation of an RSA public key from a private CRT key. This routine supports various key lengths from 512 bits to 2048 bits (CRT). To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
RSA_KeyGen
<ul> <li>SS.RSA_KeyGen provides functions to generate RSA key pairs as described in [28] and [29].</li> <li>This routine supports various key lengths from 512 bits to 2048 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).</li> <li>Two different output formats for the key parameters are supported by the TOE, namely the <i>Simple Straight Forward Method</i> (called RSA <i>straight forward</i> and RSA using the <i>Chinese Remainder Theorem</i> (RSA CRT).</li> </ul>

Security Target Lite Public

SS.SHA	SHA
	SS.SHA provides functions to compute the Secure Hash Algorithms SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512 according to the standard FIPS 180-3 [27].
	To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate security level must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards). In particular this means that SHA-1 shall not be used.
SS.ECDSA	ECDSA
	SS.ECDSA provides functions that implement the ECDSA algorithm for signature and verifica- tion. All algorithms are defined in ISO/IEC 15946-2 [24].
	Note that hashing of the message must be done beforehand and is not provided by this security functionality, but could be provided by SS.SHA.
	This routine supports various key lengths from 128 bits to 384 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
SS.ECC_DHKE	ECC_DHKE
	SS.ECC_DHKE provides functions that perform the elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange as defined in ISO/IEC 15946-3 [25].
	This routine supports various key lengths from 128 bits to 384 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
SS.ECC_Additional	ECC_Additional
	SS.ECC_Additional provides functions that perform a full elliptic curve point addition as defined in ISO/IEC 15946-1 [26] as well as a basic curve parameter check for EC domain parameters.
	This routine supports various key lengths from 128 bits to 384 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).
SS.ECC_KeyGen	ECC_KeyGen
	SS.ECC_KeyGen provides functions that perform ECC over GF(p) key generation as defined in ISO/IEC 15946-1 [26].
	This routine supports various key lengths from 128 bits to 384 bits. To fend off attackers with high attack potential an adequate key length must be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).

**NXP Semiconductors** 

# SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

# SS.COPY Secure Copy This security service implements functionality to copy memory content in a secure manner protected against attacks. SS.COMPARE Secure Compare This security service implements functionality to compare different blocks of memory content in a manner protected against attacks.

## 7.1.2 Security Features

#### SF.Object\_Reuse Object Reuse

The TOE provides internal security measures which clear memory areas used by the CryptoLib after usage.

# 7.2 Security architectural information

Since this ST claims the assurance requirement ASE\_TSS.2, security architectural information on a very high level is supposed to be included in the TSS to inform potential customers on how the TOE protects itself against interference, logical tampering and bypassing. In the security architecture context, this covers the aspects self-protection and non-bypassability.

## SF.COMP

The protection of mode control is completely covered by the underlying hardware platform [13].

## SF.LOG

The logical protection relates to the SFRs FDP\_ITT.1[HW], FPT\_ITT.1[HW] and FDP\_IFC.1. The underlying hardware platform contains a number of hardware countermeasures, and for details is referred to the Security Target of the hardware platform [13].

For DES the resistance against SPA, DPA and timing attacks is provided by the co-processors in the hardware part of the TOE and partly by software countermeasures in the crypto lib.

The TOE adds a number of countermeasures to protect RSA calculations and RSA key generation, like modulus and exponent blinding. Furthermore, timing attacks are prevented using careful coding.

For all ECC related calculations, randomized projective coordinates are used. Timing attacks are prevented using careful coding

For the key generation algorithms, there is no interface available to force the key generation to repeat the previous calculation with the same parameters. For the secure compare and secure copy function measures randomizing the program flow are implemented.

Security Target Lite Public

#### SF.OPC

The control of operation conditions relates to the security requirements FRU\_FLT.2 and FPT\_FLS.1. The underlying hardware platform contains a number of hardware countermeasures. For the details is referred to the Security Target of the hardware platform [13]. The TOE implements a number of software sensors that detect DFA attacks on DES, RSA and ECC. Also software sensors are implemented to detect perturbation attacks in the secure copy and the secure compare functions.

#### SF.PHY

Protection against physical manipulation and probing is completely covered by the underlying hardware platform [13].

# 7.3 TOE Summary Specification Rationale

## 7.3.1 Mapping of Security Functional Requirements and TOE Security Functionality

The following table provides a mapping of portions of the TSF to SFR. The mapping is described in detail in the text following the table.

TSF	SFR	Title
SS.RNG	FCS_RNG.1[HW]	Random Number Generation (Class
		PTG.2)
SF.OPC	FPT_FLS.1	Failure with Preservation of Secure State
	FRU_FLT.2	Limited Fault Tolerance
SF.PHY	FDP_ITT.1[HW]	Basic Internal Transfer Protection
	FDP_SDI.2[HW]	Stored data integrity monitoring and action
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]	Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection
	FPT_PHP.3	Resistance to Physical Attack
	FMT_SMF.1[HW]	Specification of Management Functions
		(Hardware)
SF.LOG	FDP_ITT.1[HW]	Basic Internal Transfer Protection
	FDP_IFC.1	Subset Information Flow Control
	FDP_SDC.1[HW]	Stored data confidentiality
	FPT_ITT.1[HW]	Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection
SF.COMP	FAU_SAS.1[HW]	Audit Storage
	FMT_LIM.1[HW]	Limited Capabilities
	FMT_LIM.2[HW]	Limited Availability

Tab. 7.5: TOE Security Functionality vs. Security Functional Requirements (PP0084)

TSF	SFR	Title
SS.HW_DES3	FCS_COP.1[HW_DES]	Cryptographic Operation (DES)

Security Target Lite Public

TSF	SFR	Title
SS.SELF_TEST	FPT_TST.1	TSF Testing
SS.RESET	FMT_SMF.1[SW]	Specification of Management Functions (Software)
SS.RECONFIG	FMT_SMF.1[HW]	Specification of Management Functions (Hardware)
SF.MEM_ACC	FMT_LIM.2[HW]	Limited Availability
	FDP_ACC.1[MEM]	Subset Access Control (Memories)
	FDP_ACF.1[MEM]	Security Attribute Based Access Control (Memories)
	FMT_MSA.3[MEM]	Static Attribute Initialization (Memories)
	FMT_MSA.1[MEM]	Management of Security Attributes (Memo- ries)
	FMT_SMF.1[HW]	Specification of Management Functions (Hardware)
SF.SFR_ACC	FMT_LIM.2[HW]	Limited Availability
	FDP_ACC.1[SFR]	Subset Access Control (Special Function Registers)
	FDP_ACF.1[SFR]	Security Attribute Based Access Control (Special Function Registers)
	FMT_MSA.3[SFR]	Static Attribute Initialization (Special Func- tion Registers)
	FMT_MSA.1[SFR]	Management of Security Attributes (Spe- cial Function Registers)
	FMT_SMF.1[HW]	Specification of Management Functions (Hardware)

Tab. 7.6: TOE Security Functionality vs. Security Functional Requirements (HW-ST)

As already stated in the definition of the portions of the TOE security functionality there are additional security mechanisms, which can contribute to security functionality when they are appropriately controlled by the Security IC Embedded Software. E.g. the PKCC can be used to implement leakage-resistant asymmetric cryptographic algorithms.

TSF	SFR	Title
SS.SW_DES	FCS_COP.1[SW_DES]	Cryptographic Operation (DES & TDES)
SS.RSA	FCS_COP.1[RSA]	Cryptographic Operation (RSA encryption, decryption, signature and verification)
SS.RSA_KeyGen	FCS_CKM.1[RSA]	Cryptographic key generation (RSA key generation)

Security Target Lite Public

TSF	SFR	Title
SS.RSAPad	FCS_COP.1[RSA_Pad]	Cryptographic Operation (RSA message and signature encoding)
SS.RSAPubExp	FCS_COP.1[RSA_PubExp	Cryptographic Operation (RSA public key computation)
SS.ECDSA	FCS_COP.1[ECDSA]	ECDSA Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) signature generation and verification)
SS.ECC_DHKE	FCS_COP.1[ECC_DHKE]	ECDH Cryptographic Operation (ECC over GF(p) Diffie-Hellman key exchange)
SS.ECC_Additional	FCS_COP.1[ECC_Addition	GF(p) point addition and domain parame- ter verification)
SS.ECC_KeyGen	FCS_CKM.1[ECC]	Cryptographic key generation (ECC key generation)
SS.SHA	FCS_COP.1[SHA]	Cryptographic Operation (SHA-1, SHA- 224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512)
SS.COPY	FDP_SOP.1[Copy]	Secure Basic Operations (Copy)
SS.COMPARE	FDP_SOP.1[Compare]	Secure Basic Operations (Compare)
SS.SW_RNG	FCS_RNG.1[DET]	Random Number Generation (Determinis- tic)
SF.Object_Reuse	FDP_RIP.1[SW]	Subset Residual Information Protection
	FCS_CKM.4	Cryptographic key destruction

Tab. 7.7: TOE Security Functionality vs. Security Functional Requirements (ST)

Security Target Lite Public

# 8 Bibliography

- [1] Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik. A proposal for: Functionality classes and evaluation methodology for true (physical) random number generators, 09 2011.
- [2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1 Introduction and general model -Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-001, Revision 4, September 2012.
- [3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2 Security functional components, Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-002, Revision 4, September 2012.
- [4] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3 Security assurance components, Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-003, Revision 4, September 2012.
- [5] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation CEM-99/045 Part 2 Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1 CCMB-2012-09-004, Revision 4, September 2012.
- [6] FIPS PUB 197 FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS PUBLICATION, ADVANCED EN-CRYPTION STANDARD (AES), National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2001 November 26.
- [7] FIPS PUB 46-3 FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS PUBLICATION DATA ENCRYP-TION STANDARD (DES) Reaffirmed 1999 October 25.
- [8] Guidance and Operation Manual NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072, Information on Guidance and Operation, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 269433, 2017-02-24.
- [9] JIL: Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices, Version 1.5, February 2009.
- [10] NIST Special Publication 800-38A Recommendation for BlockCipher Modes of Operation. http://csrc. nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38a/sp800-38a.pdf.
- [11] NIST Special Publication 800-38B Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CMAC Mode for Authentication. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38B/SP\_800-38B.pdf.
- [12] NIST Special Publication 800-38C Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CCM Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality - CBCMAC. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/ 800-38C/SP800-38C.pdf.
- [13] NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P40C008/012/024/040/072 VE.001, Security Target, NXP Semiconductors, Revision 2.2, 2017-03-10.
- [14] Product data sheet addendum SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx, HAL interface specification, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 267432, 2015-11-16.

Security Target Lite Public

- [15] Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, registered and certified by Bundesamt fuer Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) under the reference BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, Rev 1.0, 13 January 2014.
- [16] User guidance manual Firmware Libraries V2.x on P40C008/012/024/040/072, Preparative procedures and operational user guidance, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 340309, 2017-05-09.
- [17] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx ECC over GF(p) Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 276003, 2015-11-11.
- [18] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx RNG Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 266319, 2015-11-11.
- [19] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx Rsa Key Generation Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 276103, 2015-11-11.
- [20] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx RSA Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 266616, 2015-11-11.
- [21] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx SHA Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 266416, 2015-11-11.
- [22] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx Symmetric Crypto Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 266516, 2015-11-11.
- [23] User manual Crypto Library on SmartMX2 P40 family P40Cxxx Utils Library, NXP Semiconductors, Document number 266716, 2015-11-11.
- [24] ISO/IEC 15946-2:2002: Information technology, Security techniques, Cryptographic techniques based on elliptic curves, Part 2: Digital signatures, 2002.
- [25] ISO/IEC 15946-3:2002: Information technology, Security techniques, Cryptographic techniques based on elliptic curves, Part 3: Key establishment, 2002.
- [26] ISO/IEC 15946-1:2008: Information technology, Security techniques, Cryptographic techniques based on elliptic curves, Part 1: General. ISO/IEC 15946-1:2008, 2008.
- [27] Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 180-4: Secure Hash Standard (SHS)., 2012.
- [28] J. Jonsson and B. Kaliski. Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2, 2012.
- [29] FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS PUBLICATION. Digital Signature Standard (DSS). http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.186-4.pdf, 07 2013.

Security Target Lite Public

# 9 Contents

1 ST	Introduction	2
1.1	ST Reference	2
1.2	TOE Reference	2
1.3	TOE Overview	2
1.3.1	Introduction	2
1.3.2	Difference to previous version of the	
	product	3
1.4	TOE Description	3
1.4.1	Physical Scope of TOE	3
1.4.2	Logical Scope of TOE	6
1.4.3	Interface of the TOE	9
1.4.4	Life Cycle	9
1.4.5	Security during Development and Pro-	
	duction	10
1.4.6	Specific Issues of Smartcard Hardware	
	and the Common Criteria	10
1.4.7	TOE Intended Usage	11
1.4.8	TOE User Environment	11
1.4.9	General IT features of the TOE	11
2 Co	nformance Claims	12
2.1	Package Claim	12
2.2	PP Claim	12
2.3	Conformance Claim Rationale	13
3 Se	curity Problem Definition	14
3.1	Description of Assets	14
3.2	Threats	14
3.3	Organizational Security Policies	15
3.4	Assumptions	17

4 Se	curity Objectives	18
4.1	Security Objectives for the TOE	18
4.2	Security Objectives for the Security IC Em-	
	bedded Software Development Environment	20
4.3	Security Objectives for the Operational En-	
	vironment	21
4.4	Security Objectives Rationale	21
5 Ex	tended Components Definitions	26
5.1	Secure basic operations (FDP_SOP)	26
6 Se	curity Requirements	27
6.1	Security Functional Requirements	27
6.1.1	SFRs of the Protection Profile	27
6.1.2	SFRs of the Hardware Security Target	29
6.1.3	SFRs added by the Crypto Library	29
6.1.4	Extended TOE security functional re-	
	quirements	35
6.2	Security Assurance Requirements	36
6.2.1	Refinements of the TOE Security Assur-	
	ance Requirements	37
6.3	Security Requirements Rationale	37
6.3.1	Rationale for the Security Functional Re-	
	quirements	37
6.3.2	Extended Requirements	43
6.3.3	Dependencies of Security Functional	
	Requirements	44
6.3.4	Rationale for the Assurance Requirements	46

58 of 61

**NXP Semiconductors** 

# SmartMX2 P40 FW Libraries V2.x

Security Target Lite Public

7 TO	E Summary Specification	47
7.1	IT Security Functionality	47
7.1.1	Security Services	49
7.1.2	Security Features	52
7.2	Security architectural information	52
7.3	TOE Summary Specification Rationale	53
7.3.1	Mapping of Security Functional Require-	
	ments and TOE Security Functionality	53

8 Bi	bliography	56	
9 C	ontents	58	
10 Legal information			
10.1	Definitions	60	
10.2	Disclaimers	60	
10.3	Licenses	60	
10.4	Patents	61	
10.5	Trademarks	61	

Security Target Lite Public

# 10 Legal information

## 10.1 Definitions

**Draft** – The document is a draft version only. The content is still under internal review and subject to formal approval, which may result in modifications or additions. NXP Semiconductors does not give any representations or warranties as to the accuracy or completeness of information included herein and shall have no liability for the consequences of use of such information.

## 10.2 Disclaimers

Limited warranty and liability – Information in this document is believed to be accurate and reliable. However, NXP Semiconductors does not give any representations or warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of such information and shall have no liability for the consequences of use of such information.

In no event shall NXP Semiconductors be liable for any indirect, incidental, punitive, special or consequential damages (including - without limitation - lost profits, lost savings, business interruption, costs related to the removal or replacement of any products or rework charges) whether or not such damages are based on tort (including negligence), warranty, breach of contract or any other legal theory.

Notwithstanding any damages that customer might incur for any reason whatsoever, NXP Semiconductors' aggregate and cumulative liability towards customer for the products described herein shall be limited in accordance with the Terms and conditions of commercial sale of NXP Semiconductors.

**Right to make changes** – NXP Semiconductors reserves the right to make changes to information published in this document, including without limitation specifications and product descriptions, at any time and without notice. This document supersedes and replaces all information supplied prior to the publication hereof.

Suitability for use – NXP Semiconductors products are not designed, authorized or warranted to be suitable for use in life support, life-critical or safetycritical systems or equipment, nor in applications where failure or malfunction of an NXP Semiconductors product can reasonably be expected to result in personal injury, death or severe property or environmental damage. NXP Semiconductors accepts no liability for inclusion and/or use of NXP Semiconductors products in such equipment or applications and therefore such inclusion and/or use is at the customer's own risk.

**Applications –** Applications that are described herein for any of these products are for illustrative purposes only. NXP Semiconductors makes no representation or warranty that such applications will be suitable for the specified use without further testing or modification.

Customers are responsible for the design and operation of their applications and products using NXP Semiconductors products, and NXP Semiconductors accepts no liability for any assistance with applications or customer product design. It is customer's sole responsibility to determine whether the NXP Semiconductors product is suitable and fit for the customer's applications and products planned, as well as for the planned application and use of customer's third party customer(s). Customers should provide appropriate design and operating safeguards to minimize the risks associated with their applications and products.

NXP Semiconductors does not accept any liability related to any default, damage, costs or problem which is based on any weakness or default in the customer's applications or products, or the application or use by customer's third party customer(s). Customer is responsible for doing all necessary testing for the customer's applications and products using NXP Semiconductors products in order to avoid a default of the applications and the products or of the application or use by customer's third party customer(s). NXP does not accept any liability in this respect.

**Export control** – This document as well as the item(s) described herein may be subject to export control regulations. Export might require a prior authorization from competent authorities.

**Evaluation products** – This product is provided on an "as is" and "with all faults" basis for evaluation purposes only. NXP Semiconductors, its affiliates and their suppliers expressly disclaim all warranties, whether express, implied or statutory, including but not limited to the implied warranties of non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The entire risk as to the quality, or arising out of the use or performance, of this product remains with customer.

In no event shall NXP Semiconductors, its affiliates or their suppliers be liable to customer for any special, indirect, consequential, punitive or incidental damages (including without limitation damages for loss of business, business interruption, loss of use, loss of data or information, and the like) arising out the use of or inability to use the product, whether or not based on tort (including negligence), strict liability, breach of contract, breach of warranty or any other theory, even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Notwithstanding any damages that customer might incur for any reason whatsoever (including without limitation, all damages referenced above and all direct or general damages), the entire liability of NXP Semiconductors, its affiliates and their suppliers and customer's exclusive remedy for all of the foregoing shall be limited to actual damages incurred by customer based on reasonable reliance up to the greater of the amount actually paid by customer for the product or five dollars (US\$5.00). The foregoing limitations, exclusions and disclaimers shall apply to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, even if any remedy fails of its essential purpose.

## 10.3 Licenses

ICs with DPA Countermeasures functionality



NXP ICs containing functionality implementing countermeasures to Differential Power Analysis and Simple Power Analysis are produced and sold under applicable license from Cryptography Research, Inc.

Rev. 1.3 - 09 May 2017

©NXP B.V. 2017. All rights reserved.

Security Target Lite Public

## 10.4 Patents

Notice is herewith given that the subject device uses one or more of the following patents and that each of these patents may have corresponding patents in other jurisdictions.

<Patent ID> - owned by <Company name>

# 10.5 Trademarks

Notice: All referenced brands, product names, service names and trademarks are property of their respective owners.

MIFARE - is a trademark of NXP B.V.

Please be aware that important notices concerning this document and the product(s) described herein, have been included in the section 'Legal information'.

#### ©NXP B.V. 2017.

All rights reserved.

For more information, please visit: http://www.nxp.com For sales office addresses, please send an email to: salesaddresses@nxp.com

> Date of release: 09 May 2017 Document identifier: NSCIB-CC-15-65156